[2023] 154 taxmann.com 37 (Article)

[2023] 154 taxmann.com 37 (Article)

Date of Publishing: September 2, 2023

Complexity Over Clarity: The 'Electronic Credit Reversal and Re-claimed Statement'

ANSHUL MITTAL
Partner, RSA Legal Solutions

The Indian Government has taken significant steps to streamline the Goods and Services Tax Network (GSTN) and ensure that taxpayers report precise information regarding Input Tax Credit (ITC) availed, ITC reversal, ITC re-claimed, and ineligible ITC. However, this move of the Govt. has left many taxpayers scratching their heads. While the intention is to ensure accurate reporting, the execution raises significant concerns. These changes, outlined in Notification No. 14/2022 – Central Tax dated 05th July, 2022, and circular No.170/02/2022-GST, Dated 6th July, 2022, introduce a new feature called the "Electronic Credit Reversal and Re-claimed Statement" on the GST portal. While these changes appear well-intentioned, a closer look reveals potential pitfalls that taxpayers must be wary of.

1. **Introduction of the Electronic Credit Reversal and Re-claimed Statement**: A new statement, called the "Electronic Credit Reversal and Re-claimed Statement," is being introduced on the GST portal. This statement is designed to help taxpayers track ITC that has been reversed in Table 4B(2) and subsequently re-claimed in Table 4D(1) and 4A(5) for each return period, starting from the August return period.

   The creation of the "Electronic Credit Reversal and Re-claimed Statement" initially seems like a useful tool for taxpayers. However, the devil is in the details. Taxpayers are now burdened with tracking ITC reversals and re-claims using this statement. This adds yet another layer of complexity to an already intricate GST filing process. Will this statement truly simplify matters, or is it just another layer of bureaucracy?

2. **Alignment of Reclaimed ITC with Reversed ITC:** This statement aims to ensure that when taxpayers reclaim ITC in GSTR-3B, the amount aligns appropriately with the corresponding reversed ITC. This is intended to improve the consistency and correctness of ITC reversal and re-claims.

   Aligning reclaimed ITC with reversed ITC sounds sensible in theory. However, the practical execution of this alignment remains a concern. While the goal is to ensure consistency and correctness in reporting, it might inadvertently introduce more red tape for taxpayers. The government should provide clarity on how this alignment will be achieved without becoming a compliance nightmare.

3. **Reporting Cumulative ITC Reversal Opening Balance**: Taxpayers have the option to report their cumulative ITC reversal (ITC that has been reversed earlier and has not yet been reclaimed) as an opening balance for the "Electronic Credit Reversal and Re-claimed Statement." The reporting of this balance should consider ITC reversal activities until specific return periods:

    Monthly taxpayers should report their opening balance considering the ITC reversal done until the return period of July 2023.

    Quarterly taxpayers should report their opening balance up to Q1 of the financial year 2023-24, considering the ITC reversal made until the April-June 2023 return period.

    Taxpayers have until 30th November 2023 to declare their opening balance for ITC reversal, with three opportunities for amendments during this period. After 30th November until 31st December 2023, only amendments will be allowed, and no fresh reporting will be permitted.

    While the provision for a cumulative ITC reversal opening balance may seem generous, it comes with certain stipulations. Taxpayers are expected to report this balance accurately, taking into account previous reversal activities. Failure to do so could result in compliance issues. This might not be as beneficial as it appears, as it places additional reporting responsibilities on taxpayers, potentially leading to confusion and mistakes.

4. **Validation Mechanism in GSTR-3B Form:** The GSTR-3B form will incorporate a validation mechanism. If a taxpayer tries to reclaim excess ITC in Table 4D(1) compared to the available ITC reversal balance in the statement, along with ITC reversal made in the current return period in Table 4B(2), a warning message will be triggered. While taxpayers can still proceed with filing, they are advised not to reclaim ITC exceeding the closing balance of the "Electronic Credit Reversal and Re-claimed Statement." They should report their pending reversed ITC, if any, as ITC reversal opening balance.

    The introduction of a validation mechanism in the GSTR-3B form is aimed at preventing erroneous claims. However, it also raises concerns. Taxpayers will now receive warning messages if they attempt to claim more ITC than the available balance. While this is intended to curb potential misuse, it might discourage taxpayers from making legitimate ITC claims, fearing repercussions. Striking a balance between oversight and enabling taxpayers is crucial, and it remains to be seen whether this mechanism achieves that balance.

5. **Implementation Timeline for Warning Messages:** The warning message will begin appearing for monthly taxpayers starting from the GSTR-3B filing for the August 2023 return period. Similarly, for quarterly taxpayers, this warning message will commence from the filing period covering July to September 2023.

    The timeline for the introduction of warning messages during specific filing periods adds complexity to the process. Taxpayers must now keep track of when these warnings come into effect, potentially leading to confusion and errors. It is essential to consider whether this timeline is practical or if it places an undue burden on taxpayers.

Conclusion

In conclusion, these changes represent a significant leap forward in ensuring the accuracy and consistency of ITC reversal and re-claim reporting for GST taxpayers. While the government's objectives to enhance GST reporting accuracy are commendable, the practical implications of these changes warrant critical examination. It is imperative for taxpayers to familiarize themselves with these modifications and adhere to the new reporting requirements. Taxpayers may find themselves grappling with added complexities, risking compliance issues and errors in the process. Striking the right balance between oversight and simplicity should be the government's priority to ensure that taxpayers can efficiently implement the new requirement in the GST system without undue bureaucratic impediments. Clarity, transparency, and user-friendliness should remain at the forefront of any tax regime to truly serve the best interests of taxpayers. The success of these changes will depend on whether they ultimately facilitate compliance or hinder it.

Looking into the department's actions over the past few months, it becomes apparent that they have not been attentive to the concerns of businesses. Instead of addressing these concerns, the plethora of notices and communications received by business owners has left them perplexed about how to operate under the new regime. It's disconcerting to see multiple authorities issuing numerous notices for the same periods and issues without thoroughly verifying the data they rely on. The apparent approach of simply clicking a button on their computer system and sending out notices without due diligence is causing frustration among business owners. It's high time that the authorities take a step back and revaluate their processes to ensure they are fair, reasonable, and supportive of businesses trying to comply with ever changing GST regulations.